

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/900,004	07/05/2001	Thor Bakke	SD6853/S96530	5135	
75	90 08/05/2003				
Timothy D. Stanley			EXAMINER		
Sandia National Laboratories P. O. Box 5800 - MS-0161 Albuquerque, NM 87185-0161			NG⊎YEN,	NGUYEN, KHIEM M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2839		

DATE MAILED: 08/05/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	Application No. Applicant(s) BAKKE
Office Action Summary	Examiner Group Art Unit 2839
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—
Period for Reply	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state	I.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS oply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. I.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. I.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely. I.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply filed after SIX (6) MONTHS I.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS I.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS II.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS II.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS II.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS II.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS II.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS II.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS II.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS II.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS II.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS II.136(a). In no event, however,
Status	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
☐ This action is FINAL .	
 Since this application is in condition for allowance except accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 	for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
\(\sigma^{\infty} \) Claim(s) \(\frac{1 - \to 1}{2} \) \(\frac{1}{2} \)	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s) 20 - 3	is/are perioning in the application. is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to.
Claim(s) 1-10, 12-79	is/are rejected.
Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
	are subject to restriction or election requirement
Application Papers	•
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	led to by the Examiner
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	·
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the:	,
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been re	
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been re	
☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents	
in this national stage application from the International	
*Certified copies not received:	•
Attachment(s)	·
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No.	(s)
Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152
 ✓ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 	3
Office Ac	ction Summary

Art Unit:

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-10, 12-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Admitted Prior Art (APA) or Vawter et al. (6,229,947) in view of Dutting et al. and Japan'405.

The APA discussed in the background of the instant invention and Vawter et al. discloses a tapered rib optical waveguide in which the thickness of the waveguide core varying in proportion to the width of a mesa structure or substrate as being recited by the present invention.

Dutting et al. disclosed that it is known to vary the thickness of the waveguide core with distance UB1 over a substrate.

Japan'405 as shown in figure 4 shows that it is also known to vary a width of a mesa structure Q with respect to a waveguide.

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skilled in the art to provide or construct the APA or Vawter et al. Waveguide to have a core with a thickness varying in

Application/Control Number: 09/900004

Page 3

Art Unit:

proportion to the width of a mesa structure in view of the teachings of Dutting et al. and Japan'405.

These features in addition to the material selection of the waveguide and it specific dimensions as being recited are only relate to obvious choice of material and routine experimentations.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claim 11 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 4. Claims 20-31 are allowed.
- 5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The specific features of the optical spot size transformer as being recited in claim 20 and the method for forming the vertically tapered optical waveguide as being recited in claim 28 is not anticipated or suggested by the prior art of record.

Application/Control Number: 09/900004

Art Unit:

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Khiem Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-1738.

KHIEM NGUYEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER

K.N.

July 28, 2003